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## Why do governments tax, spend and lend?

- Providing 'public goods'
- Defence, criminal justice
- Replacing 'missing markets'
- Unemployment and incapacity insurance, higher education
- Influencing behaviour: paternalism and spillovers
- Schools, pensions, libraries, tobacco duties, green taxes
- Redistributing resources
- Taxes, cash benefits and benefits in kind


## Why do governments borrow?

- Revenue and spending forecast errors
- It is hard to ensure revenues equal spending even if you try
- Inter-generational fairness
- Wars, capital spending, training doctors and teachers
- Stabilizing tax rates
- Using the deficit as a shock absorber helps avoid costly tax rate changes
- Macroeconomic management
- Automatic and discretionary changes help stabilise total spending
- Political expedience
- Voters like having money spent on them more than paying taxes

The public finances today: a snapshot

## Public spending in 2011-12

- We estimate that the public sector will spend $£ 703$ billion this year
- Equivalent to $£ 26,000$ per family or $46.2 \%$ of national income



## Public sector receipts in 2011-12

- We estimate that the public sector will raise $£ 576$ billion this year from taxes and other receipts
- Equivalent to $£ 21,300$ per family or $37.8 \%$ of national income



## Public sector borrowing in 2011-12

| Public sector spending <br> Public sector receipts | $£ 703 \mathrm{bn}$ <br> $£ 576 \mathrm{bn}$ | $46.2 \%$ of GDP <br> $37.8 \%$ of GDP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Public sector borrowing | $£ 127 \mathrm{bn}$ | $8.4 \%$ of GDP |

- Deficit down from post-war peak of $11.2 \%$ in 2009-10
- Government still borrowing $£ 1$ for every $£ 5$ it spends


## Public sector net debt in March 2011

Gross Debt
of which: gilts £919bn 62\% of GDP
: treasury bills £63bn 4\% of GDP
: national savings £99bn 7\% of GDP
less liquid assets of which: forex reserves
£53bn
$4 \%$ of GDP

Public sector net debt £905bn 60\% of GDP

- Debt highest share of GDP since 1968


## But is this the whole story?

- PSND often criticised as a measure of the public sector's financial health, as it fails to take account of future debts that will arise because of past government action
- Some prefer to look at the public sector's balance sheet in the same way you would look at a private sector one
- Now possible thanks to 'Whole of Government Accounts'
- Built up from accounts of 1500 constituent public bodies
- WGA includes physical and illiquid financial assets, plus present value of some future cash flows
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## WGA public sector assets 2009-10

Land, buildings and dwelling
Physical infrastructure
Taxes due
Loans and deposits
Shareholdings in banks
Treasury loans to financial institutions
Military equipment
Student loans
Overseas government bonds
Other
Total
£354bn
£233bn
£105bn
£68bn
£65bn
£58bn
£36bn
£28bn
£24bn
£237bn
£1208bn
$86 \%$ of GDP

## WGA public sector liabilities 2009-10

| Public service pension liabilities | $£ 1132 \mathrm{bn}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Gilts, treasury bills and National Savings | $£ 966 \mathrm{bn}$ |
| Provisions (mostly nuclear decommissioning) | $£ 102 \mathrm{bn}$ |
| Amounts payable under PFI contracts | $£ 27 \mathrm{bn}$ |
| Other | $£ 192 \mathrm{bn}$ |
| Total | $£ 2419 \mathrm{bn}$ |
|  | $173 \%$ of GDP |

- Does not include contingent liabilities where the chance of having to pay is $<50 \%$ - mostly guarantees for the financial sector


## So is the public sector insolvent?

WGA liabilities<br>WGA assets

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
£ 2419 \mathrm{bn} & 173 \% \text { of GDP } \\
£ 1208 \mathrm{bn} & 86 \% \text { of GDP }
\end{array}
$$

WGA net liabilities $£ 1212 \mathrm{bn} \quad 86 \%$ of GDP

- No. Its greatest asset is not included in the WGA - the right to levy future taxes
- Judging fiscal sustainability therefore requires analysis of long-term prospects for spending and revenues, taking into account demographics, health care etc


## Assumptions: demography

- Ageing population - past rises in life expectancy and falls in fertility plus baby boom 'bulge'
- ONS population projections
- Our central projection assumes:
- 65+ proportion rises from $17 \%$ in 2011 to $26 \%$ in 2061
- Net inward migration averages roughly half recent levels
- We also show sensitivity to older and younger age structures and higher net migration
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## Results: non-interest spending

|  | Per cent of GDP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | FSR Projection |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $2010-11$ | $2015-16$ | $2020-21$ | $2030-31$ | $2040-41$ | $2050-51$ | $2060-61$ |
| Health | 8.2 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 9.8 |
| Long-term care | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Education | 6.3 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| State pensions | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 7.9 |
| Pensioner benefits | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Public service pensions | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Total age-related spending | 24.6 | 22.0 | 22.1 | 24.3 | 25.6 | 26.0 | 27.3 |
| Other social benefits | 6.2 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Other spending | 13.3 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.4 |
| Spending | 44.2 | 36.3 | 36.6 | 38.8 | 40.0 | 40.4 | 41.7 |

## Results: non-interest revenues

|  | Per cent of GDP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | FSR projection |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $2010-11$ | $2015-16$ | $2020-21$ | $2030-31$ | $2040-41$ | $2050-51$ | $2060-61$ |
| Income tax | 10.3 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 10.9 |
| NICs | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| Corporation tax | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| VAT | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.4 |
| Capital taxes | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| Other taxes | 10.4 | 10.1 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 |
| Revenue | 37.0 | 37.6 | 37.9 | 38.2 | 38.4 | 38.2 | 38.5 |

## Long term revenue and spending projections



## Public sector net debt



The crisis and the repair job

## Public sector net borrowing



## Total public spending and receipts



## Nominal GDP: total cash size of economy



## Total public spending and revenues



## Policy measures from autumn 2008



## Bigger squeeze on public services spending



[^0]
## Some historical and international perspective

## Net borrowing as a share of GDP



## National debt since 1700



## Selected OECD budget deficits

Government net lending/net borrowing in 2011


## Selected OECD debt ratios

Government gross financial liabilities in 2011


The policy debate: Plan A versus Plan B

## The backdrop: weak economic growth



## The backdrop: economy has spare capacity



- "Aggregate composite" estimates
-"Principal components analysis" estimates (previous approach)
- "Principal components analysis" estimates (updated approach)


## The backdrop: govt can borrow cheaply



## Plan $A$ versus Plan $B$

- Is there a temporary tax cut or spending increase large enough to give a material boost to the economy, but small enough not to push up the Government's borrowing costs in a self-defeating way?
- The Government says borrowing costs are low because it has a credible fiscal consolidation plan. A fiscal loosening would undermine confidence, push up debt interest costs and soon require even greater fiscal tightening
- The Opposition says borrowing costs are low more because we are outside the eurozone and because people are pessimistic about long term growth. Slowing the consolidation would boost growth and help cut the deficit
- Who's right? It's not for us to say!
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## The role of the OBR

- "to examine and report on the sustainability of the public finances" (Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2010)
- Overcome mistrust of ministerial analysis. Four main tasks:
- Produce two five-year forecasts for economy and public finances
- Judge progress towards the government's fiscal targets
- Scrutinise Treasury costing of tax and spending measures
- Assess long-term sustainability and public sector balance sheet
- Demonstrating our political independence is key
- Various legal safeguards
- But transparency is key
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